

## NORMS AND RELIABILITY

### I. NORMS (Comparing Frame of Reference)

#### A. Norm Group

1. Representative of the population
2. Test Standardization - allows for publishing derived scores
3. Raw Scores - meaningless in isolation
4. Non -referenced tests

#### B. Essential Statistical Concepts

##### 1. Frequency Distribution

- equal sized class intervals
- Histogram (graphic representation)
- Frequency Polygon (single point)

##### 2. Central Tendency

- mean
- median
- mode

### 3. Measures of Variability

- Standard Deviation P. 61

- i) **S, SD - square root of variance**
- ii) **degree of dispersion in a group of scores**

- Normal Distribution

- i) **useful mathematical features for statistical investigation.**
- ii) **mathematical precision**
- iii) **arises spontaneously in nature.**

- Skewness

positive - low end  
negative - high end

### C. Raw Score Transformation

1. Percentiles and percentile rank
2. Standard Scores - **distance from the mean (p. 65)**  
**in standard deviation units ( a "z" score)**
3. T-scores and other standardized scores  
T-score - mean 50 SD 10  
Standardized scores eliminate - negative;  
fractions; 0's.

4. Normalizing Standard Scores

- percentiles to standard scores

5. Stanine Stem - C-scale

6. Figure 3.8 (p.69)

D. Selecting a Norm Group

1. Random Sampling

2. Stratified Random Sampling

3. Age and Grade Norms

4. Local and Subgroup Norms  
(used continuously)

5. Expectancy Tables

- test scores and expected outcomes

(p.72 - Table 3.6)

E. Criterion - Referenced Tests

1. Compare examinees accomplishments to a predefined performance standard. ie. what they can do (mastery)

2. Table 3.7 (p. 74) Comparison of Norm vs. Criterion Referenced Tests

# RELIABILITY

## I. Sources of Measurement Error

A.  $X = T + e$        $e = X - T$   
 (true score is never known)

- |                                 |              |
|---------------------------------|--------------|
| B. Item Selection               | Unsystematic |
| C. Test Administration          | Measurement  |
| D. Test Scoring                 | Error        |
| E. Systematic Measurement Error |              |
| $X = T + e_s + e_u$             |              |

## II. Measurement Error and Reliability (p. 81)

- A. Randomness
- B. Mean error of measurement = 0
- C. True scores and errors are uncorrelated
- D. Errors on different tests are uncorrelated

## III. The Reliability Coefficient

- A. "The ratio of true score variance to the total of test scores"  $r_{xx} = \frac{\sigma^2_T}{\sigma^2_T + \sigma^2_e}$
- B. 1.0 to 0.0
- C. The Correlation Coefficient (-1.00 to 1.00) P. 82

IV. Reliability as Temporal Stability

- A. Test- Retest Reliability
- B. Alternate - Forms Reliability
  - (Immediate / Delayed)

V. Reliability as Internal Consistency

- A. Split - Half Reliability
- B. The Spearman - Brown Formula
- C. Coefficient Alpha
- D. The Kuder-Richardson Estimate of Reliability
  - (KR-20)
- E. Interscorer Reliability

VI. Special Circumstances

- A. Unstable Characteristics
- B. Speed and Power Tests
  - speed: need test - retest reliability
- C. Restriction of Range
- D. Criterion - referenced Tests
- E. Interpretation of Reliability Coefficients (.70 to .95)

VII. Standard Error of Measurement

- A. Std Deviation of the distribution of obtained scores.
- B.  $SEM = SD \sqrt{1 - r}$  (p. 92)
- C. Confidence Intervals
- D. Standard Error of the Difference

## VALIDITY (Accuracy)

### I. Introduction

- Reliability (expressed as a correlation coefficient) is a necessary but not a sufficient precursor to validity.
- Validity is a developmental process that begins with test construction and continues indefinitely.
- Page 97 Case Exhibit 4.1
- A test is valid to the extent that inferences made from it are appropriate, useful and meaningful.
- Not defined by a single statistic but on an evolving continuum (weak to acceptable to strong).
- Three categories of validity: content, criterion-related, construct.

## II. Content Validity

- A. The degree to which the test is representative of the universe of behavior the test was designed to sample.
- B. Useful for areas where a great deal is known about the variable we wish to measure (especially achievement tests).
- C. Difficult to ensure when the test measures an ill-defined trait.
- D. Quantification of Content Validity (P. 99 - 100)
- E. Face Validity

## III. Criterion-Related Validity

- A. Validity Coefficient
  - 1. Constrained by reliability of test and criteria (p. 101)
  - 2. A criterion measure must be appropriate to the test under investigation.

3. Must be free from contamination  
(criteria contamination)

B. Concurrent Validity (eg. diagnostic tests)

- Correlated with other tests
- with non-test behavior in the world
- must measure the same construct as the criteria

C. Predictive Validity

- employment tests
- requires a regression equation
- validity coefficient
- Standard Error of Estimate (p. 103)

(margin of prediction error caused by the  
imperfect validity of the test.)

Similar to the SEM



B. Decision Theory

- P. 104 (Figure 4.4)

Measurement in the Service of Decision Making

Selection Ratio (0.0 - 1.0)

False positives, False negatives (misses/hits)

Taylor-Russell Table P. 107

- Base Rate
- Even low validity tests are beneficial

IV. Construct Validity

A theoretical, intangible quality or trait in which individuals differ. (eg. leadership ability)

- A. Test homogeneity
- B. Developmental Changes
- C. Theory consistent with group differences
- D. Convergent Validity
- E. Discriminant Validity
- F. Multitrait / multimethod matrix (Table 4.4 p. 112)
- G. Factor Analysis (Table 4.5 p. 113)

## V. Extravalidity Concern

- Unintended side effects
- technical vs. functionalist view
- constructive consequence / guard against adverse outcomes

## VI The Test Construction Process

Figure 4.5 Page 119

Nominal, Ordinal, Interval, Ratio Scales (Fig. 4.6)

## Chapter 5

### Intelligence

#### I. Definition (p. 165)

- Wechsler (1939)
- The capacity to learn from experience
- The capacity to adapt to one's environment
- Experts:
  1. Verbal Intelligence
  2. Problem-solving
  3. Practical Intelligence
- Lay person:
  1. Practical problem solving
  2. Verbal ability
  3. Social capability
- Table 5.1 (167)
- Factor Analysis (p. 170-173)

#### II. Theories of Intelligence

- A. Galton – Keen Sensory Abilities
- B. Spearman – g / s
- C. Thurstone – primary mental abilities (p.178)

**Theories of Intelligence cont.**

- D. Cattell-Horn-Carroll (CHC) p. 179, fig. 5.6**  
**1 (g) – 8 (broad factors) – 70 (narrow factors)**  
**R. Cattell – fluid/ crystallized**
- E. Piaget – Table 5.6, p. 182**
- F. Guilford: Structure-of-Intellect model (p. 181)**  
**(Operations / Content / Products)**
- G. Simultaneous / Successive Processing (Luria)**
- H. Information Processing Theories of Intelligence**  
**(architectural / executive)**
- I. Biological Construct (EEG)**
- J. Gardner: Theory of Multiple Intelligence**
- K. Sternberg and Triarchic Theory of Intelligence**  
**(Table 5.7, p. 180)**

### **III. Individual Tests of Intelligence and Achievement.**

1. WAIS-III {p. 198
2. WISC-IV {table 5.8
3. Stanford-Binet V edition p. 212, fig. 5.15
  - a) high and low end items
  - b) religious diversity
4. Detroit Tests of Learning Aptitude – IV  
- needs empirical support. p. 214, Table 5.11
5. KABC-II - Luria - CHC  
p. 215, fig. 5.15
6. KAIT – 11 to 85 CH F/C
7. KBIT II
8. Individual Tests of Achievement – p.222, Table 5.14  
- usually a discrepancy between general ability and  
specific achievement.
9. p. 226, fig. 5.16
10. p. 228, Table 5.16

## **Chapter 6: Group Tests**

### **I. Introduction**

#### **A. Group Tests vs. Individual Tests**

- 1. Multiple Choice vs. open-ended format**
- 2. objective machine scoring vs. examiner scoring**
- 3. group vs. individual administration**
- 4. application in screening vs. remedial planning**
- 5. huge vs. large standardization sample**

#### **B. Risks of Group Tests**

- 1. Low scores due to poor motivation or difficulty following directions.**
- 2. Invalid scores are not recognized as such by examiner.**

### **II. Group Tests of Ability**

#### **A. MAB-II (approximates WAIS-R)**

#### **B. Shipley Institute of Living Scale**

#### **C. Cognitive Abilities Test**

#### **D. Culture Fair Intelligence Test**

#### **E. Raven's Progressive Matrices**

**III. Multiple Aptitude Batteries**

- A. Differential Aptitude Test**
- B. General Aptitude Test Battery**
- C. Armed Services Vocational Aptitude Battery (ASVAB)**

**IV. Predicting College Performance**

- A. Scholastic Assessment Tests (SAT)**
- B. American College Test (ACT)**

**V. Postgraduate Selection Tests**

- A. Graduate Record Exam (GRE)**
- B. Medical College Admission Test (MCAT)**
- C. Law School Admission Test (LSAT)**

**VI. Educational Achievement Tests (p. 258)**

- A. Iowa Test of Basic Skills (ITBS)**
- B. Metropolitan Achievement Test**
- C. General Educational Development (GED)**

**VII. Effects of Coaching on Aptitude Tests**

**Chapter 6B: Test Bias and Controversies**

- I. Test Bias – an objective, empirical question; not a matter of personal judgment. (p. 276)**
  
- II. Test Fairness – an ethical question**
  
- III. Genetic and Environmental Determinants of Intelligence - Nature vs. Nurture (Heritability Index)**
  - A. Teratogenic Effects (Fetal Alcohol Syndrome)**
  - B. Environmental Toxins**
  - C. Racial Differences**
  - D. Age Changes**
  - E. Generational Changes – Flynn Effect**



## **Chapter 7A: Infant and Preschool Assessment**

### **I. Infant Ability**

- A. Gesell Development Schedule**
- B. Neonatal Behavioral Assessment Scale**
- C. Ordinal Scales of Psychological Development**
- D. Bayley III**
- E. Additional Measures p. 301, Table 7.1**

### **II. Preschool Intelligence**

- A. McCarthy Scales of Children's Abilities**
- B. Differential Ability Scales**
- C. WPPSI – III**

### **III. Practical Utility**

- A. Screening for Developmental Disabilities**
- B. Fagan Test of Infant Intelligence**

### **IV. Screening for School Readiness**

- A. Developmental Indicators for the Assessment of Learning III (DIAL III)**
- B. Denver II**
- C. HOME (p. 314)**

**Educational, Vocational, and Psychological Appraisal**

**Chapter 7 Supplement**

**A. Definition of Mental Retardation**

**Over 200 etiological factors leading to impaired efficacy to learn.**

**IQ 2 SD below x**

**before age 18**

**observable deficits in ability to function**

**B. Levels of Mental Retardation**

**1. Profound**

**WAIS III < 25**

**2. Severe**

**WAIS III 25 – 40**

**3. Moderate**

**WAIS III 40-55**

**4. Mild**

**WAIS III 55-69**

**5. Borderline Range**

**WAIS III 70-79**

## **Chapter 7B: Testing Persons with Disabilities**

### **I. Non-Language Tests**

- A. Leiter International Performance Scale - Revised**
- B. Human Figure Drawing Tests**
- C. Hiskey-Nebraska Test of Learning Aptitude**
- D. Test of Non-Verbal Intelligence – 3**

### **II. Non-Reading and Motor-Deduced Tests**

- A. Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test - III**

### **III. Visual Impairments**

- A. Haptic Intelligence Scale for the Blind**
- B. Intelligence Test for Visually Impaired Children**

### **IV. Hearing Impairment**

- A. WAIS-III in ASL**

**V. Mental Retardation**

**A. Definition – p. 332**

**B. Levels p. 333, Table 7.12**

**C. Adaptive Skills and Behavior**

- 1. Scales of Independent Behavior – Revised  
(Table 7.1)**
- 2. Independent Living Behavior Checklist**
- 3. Inventory for Client and Agency Planning**
- 4. Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales**

## Theories of Personality

### I. Differences between People / Consistency within Individuals

#### A. **Psychoanalytical Theory**

1. Id – pleasurable principle
2. Ego – reality principle / defense mechanism  
(table 8.1, p. 345)
3. Superego – guilt principle

#### B. **Type Theories**

Type A, coronary prone (p. 347)

#### C. **Phenomenological Theories**

Carl Rogers - Q-technique (p. 349)

Self-theory / Self Concept

#### D. **Behavioral / Social Learning Theories**

Locus of Control (I/E) - Rotter

- source of things that happen to them

Bandura - Self-efficacy

#### E. **Trait Conception**

Trait – relatively enduring way in which one individual differs from another.

1. Cattell - 16 Source Traits
2. Eysenck - Two-dimensional (Fig. 8.1, p. 353)
3. Goldberg – 5 Factor Model (p. 352)

## **Projective Tests**

## **Ch. 8B**

**Vague stimuli reveal underlying needs, motives, and conflicts.**

### **I. Association Techniques**

- A. Rorschach Inkblot Test**
- B. Holtzman Inkblot Technique – Schizophrenia**

### **II. Completion Techniques**

- A. Sentence Completion Technique**
- B. Rotter Incomplete Sentence Blank**
- C. Rosenzweig Picture Frustration Story - Research**

### **III. Construction Techniques**

- A. TAT – Henry Murray (Need-Press)**
  - T-TAT: African Americans**
  - TEMAS: Hispanics**
- B. Picture Projective Test - Photo**
- C. Children's Apperception Test**

### **IV. Expression Techniques**

- A. Draw-A-Person Test – Karen Machover (49, 51)**
- B. House-Tree-Person (House: life, Tree: environment, Person: interpersonal relationships)**

### **V. Projective Paradox**

- A. Cling to Stereotypes**
- B. Auxiliary to Clinical Interview**

**Self-Report Personality Inventories**

**I. Theory Guided Inventories**

- A. EPPS (Edwards-Murray Needs) p. 379, Figure 9.1
- B. Personality Research Form – Jackson/Murray Needs  
p. 381, Table 9.1
- C. MBTI – Jung Types p. 382
- D. Jenkins Activity Survey – Type A
- E. STAI – Spielberger

**II. Factor Analytically Derived Inventories**

- A. 16 PF (p. 386, Table 9.2)
- B. Eysenck Personality Questionnaire
- C. Comrey Personality Scales
- D. NEO Personality Inventory – Revised (NEO PI-R)  
p. 390, Table 9.4

**III. Criterion Keyed Inventories**

- A. MMPI-2 p. 394, Table 9.5
- B. CPI p. 397, Table 9.6
- C. MCMI-III p. 398, Table 9.7
- D. PIC-2 p. 400, Table 9.8

**IV    Assessment of Attitude**

- A.    an evaluative component with positive or negative response of some kind
- B.    unobservable / hypothetical
- C.    assessment through unique instruments
- D.    behavioral, covert, questionnaire methods  
      (Likert Scale)
- E.    weak links with behavior

**V     Assessment of Moral Judgement**

- A.    Moral Judgement Scale (Kohlberg)
- B.    Defining Issues Test (objective scoring)

**VI    Assessment of Spiritual and Religious Concepts**

- A.    Sigmund Freud – The Future of an Illusion (1927)
- B.    William James  
      – The Varieties of Religious Experience (1902)
- C.    Allport & Ross (1967)
  - 1.    Religious Orientation Scales – Intrinsic / Extrinsic
- D.    Daniel Batson – Religion as Quest
- E.    Spiritual Well-Being Scale: Vertical / Horizontal Dimensions
- F.    Faith Maturity Scale
- G.    Spiritual Experience Index



## **Behavioral and Observational Assessment**

**Ch. 9B**

- I. Focus on behavior rather than underlying traits and personality – Table 9.15, p. 420**
  
- II. Behavior Therapy and Assessment**  
- Table 9.16, p.422
  - A. Contingency Management, e.g. Token Economy**
  - B. Cognitive Behavior Therapies – Table 9.17, p. 425**
  
- III. Structured Interview Schedules**  
**SCID - Table 9.18, p. 429**
  
- IV. Assessment of Nonverbal Behavior**
  - A. visual interaction**
  - B. paralinguistics**
  - C. facial expression**
  - D. profile of nonverbal sensitivity**
  
- V. Analogue Behavioral Assessment**
  - A. contrived setting**

## **Neuropsychology**

**I. Definition – Relationship between brain function and behavior.**

**II. Anatomy**

**Cranial Nerves, Table 10.1**

**III. Cerebral Lobes P. 446, Figure 10.2**

**1. Occipital Lobe - Vision**

**2. Parietal Lobes – Somatosensory / Integration**

**3. Temporal Lobes – Auditory, long-term memory  
modulation of biological drives**

**4. Frontal lobes – Executive function/motor function**

**IV Cerebral Lateralization (P. 455, Table 10-2)**

**V Neuropathology**

**1. Traumatic Brain Injury**

**2. Neuroplastic Disease**

**3. Chronic Alcohol Abuse**

**4. Alzheimer's Disease**

**5. Vascular Dementia (stroke)**

**6. Pseudodementia (depression)**

**VI Brain Imaging Techniques**

- 1. EEG**
- 2. Cerebral Angiography**
- 3. CT Scan**
- 4. MRI**
- 5. PET**

**EDUCATIONAL, VOCATIONAL, & PSYCHOLOGICAL APPRAISAL**

**I. VALUES**

**A. Rokeach Value Survey** p. <sup>444</sup>~~465~~ (Table 12-<sup>1</sup>~~6~~)

1. Instrumental - desirable modes of conduct
2. Terminal - desirable end states of existence

**B. Moral Judgment Scale**

1. Stages of moral development (Kohlberg)  
Table 12-7

**C. Defining Issues Test**

1. Bias against Religious conservatism

**EDUCATIONAL, VOCATIONAL, & PSYCHOLOGICAL APPRAISAL**

**II. SPIRITUAL / RELIGIOUS CONCEPTS**

- A. Sigmund Freud - The Future of an Illusion (1927)
- B. William James
  - The Varieties of Religious Experience (1902)
- C. Allport & Ross (1967)
  - 1. Religious Orientation Scales
    - Intrinsic / Extrinsic
- D. Daniel Batson - Religion as Quest
- E. Spiritual Well-Being Scale
  - Vertical / Horizontal Dimensions
- F. Faith Maturity Scale
- G. Spiritual Experience Index (Table 12.11; p. 477)

## **Industrial and Organizational Assessment**

### **I. Personnel Selection**

#### **Complex / Predictive Validity and Legal Concerns**

##### **A. Autobiographical Data**

- biodata has substantial predictive validity

##### **B. Employment Interview – poor reliability / validity**

##### **C. Cognitive Ability Tests**

- Wonderlic Personnel Test (g)

##### **D. Personality / Temperament Tests**

- Hogan Personality Inventory

##### **E. Integrity Tests – Table 11.6, p. 506**

##### **F. Work Sample / Situational Exercises**

- In-basket Test

### **II. Appraisal of Work Performance**

##### **A. Performance Measures – Production**

##### **B. Personnel Data: Absenteeism**

##### **C. Peer Rating and Self Assessments**

##### **D. Supervisor Rating Scales**

**III. Sources of Error in Performance Appraisal**

- A. Halo Effect**
- B. Rater Bias**
- C. Criterion Contamination**
- D. Guidelines – p. 520**

**IV. Inventories for Interest Assessment**

**(self-exploration and expanding career options)**

**A. Strong Interest Inventory (SII)**

**1. First published in 1927 – Strong Vocational Interest**

**Blank. Two features:**

- i) assessed likes and dislikes**
- ii) empiracally keyedfor different occupations  
(used criterion keying)**

**2. Changes in 1970's**

- i) introduction of theoretical framework**
- ii) renorming**
- iii) increase in vocational/technical occupations**

- 3. Current SII (317 items – only computer scored)**
  - i) Underpinning is John Holland's work on General Occupational Themes:**
    - Realistic**
    - Investigative**
    - Artistic**
    - Social**
    - Enterprising**
    - Conventional**

**(Person and Environment) (RIASEC model)**
  - ii) 25 Basic Interest Scales fall under six occupational themes.**
  - iii) General Occupation Scales under six occupational themes.**
  - iv) Problem with sample – too educated**

**Scoring: SS=50 SD=10**

- B. Kuder General Interest Survey**
  - 1. Forced-choice format (ipsative)**
  - 2. Restricted to Adolescents**
  - 3. Ten interest areas (p.524)**



**C. Vocational Preference Inventory**

- 1. RIASEC model (Figure 11.3, p. 525)**

**D. Self-Directed Search (SDS – Holland)**

- 1. self administered; self scored; self interpreted**
- 2. six summary scored to Realistic, Investigative, Artistic, Social, Enterprising, Conventional.**
- 3. three summary to three letter code**
- 4. compared to occupational finder**

**E. Campbell Interest and Skill Survey (Figure 1-5, p. 531-532)**

# **Forensic Application of Assessment**

## **Ch. 11B**

- I. Expert Witness – well accepted measures**
- II. Malingering**
- III. Insanity Plea**
  - A. M' Naughten Rule, p. 540**
  - B. Model Penal Code**
  - C. Guilty but Mentally Ill**
  - D. R-CRAS, Table 11.8, p. 543**
- IV. Competency to Stand Trial - Table 11.9, p. 544**
  - A. Understand participants**
  - B. Function/cooperate**
  - C. Capacity to relate/understand**
  - D. Reasonable understanding**
- V. Prediction of Violence and Assessment of Risk, p. 547**  
**long range – short range**
- VI. Evaluation of Child Custody in Divorce**
  - A. Parent Custody Index**
  - B. Parent Child Relationship Inventory**
- VII. Personal Injury**
- VIII. Polygraph**

# **Psychological Testing and the Law**

## **Ch. 12A**

### **I. Sources of Law**

- A. Constitutional**
- B. Legislative**
- C. Judicial**

### **II. Testing in School Systems**

**- Table 12.1, p.564**

### **III. Disability Assessment**

- A. Public Law 94 – 142, p.569**
- B. ADA, p. 571**

### **IV. Employment Testing**

**Table 12.2, p. 573**

# **Computerized Assessment**

**Ch. 12B**

## **I. Computer-Based Test Interpretation**

- A. Scoring Report**
- B. Descriptive Report**
- C. Actuarial Report**
- D. Clinical Report**

## **II. Advantages/Disadvantages**

- A. objective, fast, low cost**
- B. risk of misuse**

## **III. Computerized Adaptive Testing**

- A. efficient measure of ability**

## **IV. Future – more computerization**